REGULAR MEETING MINUTES

August 5th, 2024 6:30PM

Remote Meeting by Live Internet Video Stream and Telephone

Members Present: Members Absent: 1 Dr. Michael Klemens (Chair) 2 Cathy Shyer (Vice Chair) 3 Martin Whalen (Secretary) 4 5 Allen Cockerline (Regular Member) 6 Bob Riva (Regular Member) **Staff Present** 7 Dr. Danella Schiffer (Alternate Member) Abby Conroy, Land Use Director (LUD) 8 Beth Wells (Alternate Member) Miles Todaro, Land Use Technical Specialist (LUTS) 9 10 **Brief Items and Announcements** 11 1. Call to Order / Establish Quorum 12 Chair Klemens called the meeting to order at 6:29PM. A quorum was established with five regular members present (Dr. Michael Klemens, Cathy Shyer, Martin Whalen, Allen Cockerline, and Bob Riva). 13 14 Alternate Members Dr. Danella Schiffer and Beth Wells were also present. 15 2. Approval of Agenda 16 17 18 *Motion:* To approve the agenda. 19 Made by Cockerline, seconded by Riva. 20 Vote: 5-0-0 in favor. 21 22 3. Minutes of July 15, 2024 - pending 23 4. Minutes of July 29, 2024 - pending 24 25 **Other Business** 26 12. Enforcement Updates 27 a. 253 Indian Mountain Road 28 29 LUD Conroy explained the Land Use Office (LUO) issued a Notice of Potential Violation. The property 30 owners since came to the LUO and applied for a Zoning Permit. After approval is received from 31 Torrington Area Health District (TAHD), the property will return to compliance. 32 33 b. 144 Salmon Kill Road 34 35 LUD Conroy explained the LUO is waiting to receive a survey and wetlands delineation in order to bring the property back into compliance. The property owners contacted LUD Conroy and explained they are 36 working on acquiring this information. LUD Conroy reminded the Commission the next step of 37 38 enforcement is to send a Notice of Violation after sixty days. 39 40 c. 263 Millerton Road 41 42 LUD Conroy explained the property owners came to the LUO and posted bond. LUD Conroy reached out to the property owners again and explained the next steps. 43 44 45 d. 349 Main Street

46

REGULAR MEETING MINUTES August 5th, 2024 6:30PM

Remote Meeting by Live Internet Video Stream and Telephone

LUD Conroy explained a Notice of Potential Violation was sent regarding installation of propane tanks. LUD Conroy said this property had an existing propane tank without sufficient fire protection measures required by the fire code. The property owner then applied for a Building Permit for a second propane tank. LUD Conroy explained a second unprotected propane tank was installed without a Building Permit. The tanks were installed next to a dumpster without any physical protection and could present a safety hazard. LUD Conroy mentioned a Staff Meeting will occur on Wednesday, where she will follow up with other departments regarding this property.

e. 16 Woodland Drive

 f. 24 Woodland Drive

Chair Klemens explained agenda items e. and f. are related and should be addressed together. LUD Conroy explained that a few years ago the property owner applied for and received a variance from front yard setback requirements for the addition of a garage on the property. LUD Conroy explained a buried propane tank was approved on the property. Instead, an as-built survey was received that showed the propane tank was installed above ground on a neighboring vacant lot, 24 Woodland Drive. LUD Conroy explained a freestanding propane tank on this vacant property could not be permitted, as it is considered an accessory use without a principal use. Additionally, the propane line runs across properties that are under two different ownerships with no easements in place, and was installed in a location that was not approved by TAHD. In addition to the propane tank, LUD Conroy added the structure built was almost five feet longer than the variance was granted for, further encroaching into the front yard setback. Additionally, LUD Conroy mentioned plantings were required along the edge of the wetlands, which have not been completed. LUD Conroy explained a Notice of Potential Violation was sent, but the LUO has not yet received correspondence from the property owner.

Public Comment

10. Public Comment - <u>Public Comment is restricted to items that are neither on the agenda nor the subject of any pending Planning & Zoning application or action and are limited to three minutes per person</u>

There was no public comment.

 Chair Klemens announced that, for applications submitted 1-3 days prior to a scheduled meeting, the Commission has the right to accept the application but hold discussion to an upcoming meeting.

Chair Klemens called a 5-minute recess. The Meeting continued at 6:45PM.

Public Hearing - 6:45PM

 5. #2024-0248 / Mendelsohn (Citrin) / Canaan Road / Site Plan Application for Nursery Use / Map 15 / Lot 47 / DOR: 05/06/2024 / Open Hearing, Possible Consideration

The Public Hearing opened at 6:45PM. LUD Conroy read the legal notice. Attorney Michael Citrin joined the meeting to represent the application and LUD Conroy presented the application documents. Chair Klemens explained a document was submitted that reflected the proposed apron on the driveway entrance.

REGULAR MEETING MINUTES

August 5th, 2024 6:30PM

Remote Meeting by Live Internet Video Stream and Telephone

Attorney Citrin explained the application was submitted for a vacant lot owned by Eric Mendelsohn. Mr. Mendelsohn wants to utilize this lot for seasonal shrub and tree storage. Attorney Citrin explained structures would not be built and retail would not occur on-site. This property would be used as additional storage for the property owner's garden center on a neighboring lot. Chair Klemens asked if all irrigation and watering would be provided by hoses at the garden center, Attorney Citrin replied yes.

98 99

- Commissioner Cockerline asked if the property was designated as a building lot prior to storage use.
- Attorney Citrin replied Mr. Mendelsohn wished to keep the property as a distinct lot, but has used the
- land as described for ten years. Chair Klemens asked if additional parking would occur on the property.
- Attorney Citrin replied there is no intention for on-site visitors, and the lot will be used exclusively for storage during spring, summer and fall.

104 105

Chair Klemens opened the floor to the public for comments and questions. There were no further comments or questions from the Commission or public.

106 107 108

- *Motion:* To close the Public Hearing at 6:54PM.
- 109 Made by Cockerline, seconded by Whalen.
- 110 Vote: 5-0-0 in favor.

111

- 112 *Motion:* To approve application #2024-0248 / Mendelsohn (Citrin) / Canaan Road / Site Plan Application
- 113 for Nursery Use / Map 15 / Lot 47.
- 114 Made by Cockerline, seconded by Riva.
- 115 Vote: 5-0-0 in favor.

116

- 6. #2024-0251/ AHMR Inc / 11-15 Farnum Road / Special Permit Application to Install Propane Tanks in
- the Flood Plain Overlay District (Section 401) / Map 49 / Lot 12 / DOR: 06/17/2024 / Open Hearing by
- 119 8/21/2024 / Open Hearing, Possible Consideration

120

- 121 The Public Hearing opened at 6:56PM. Secretary Whalen read the legal notice. The applicant was not
- present. Chair Klemens asked if the LUO received the notifications to abutters from the applicant. LUTS
- 123 Todaro replied no. Chair Klemens suggested continuing the Public Hearing to an upcoming meeting.
- 124 Commissioner Cockerline mentioned the applicant has continually been delinquent and asked if the
- 125 application can be denied. LUD Conroy commented that additional information was submitted by the
- applicant. An updated site plan was submitted which showed the propane tank buried in a different location, still within the Flood Zone. LUD Conroy explained the applicant must demonstrate code
- 128 compliance.

129

- 130 Chair Klemens expressed support to deny this application without prejudice, to allow the applicant to 131 resubmit the application with more supporting information. Vice Chair Shyer preferred the public
- hearing remain open and to notify the applicant rather than closing. Chair Klemens advised the applicant must perform at the next meeting with proper public notice or the application will be denied.

134

- 135 *Motion:* To continue the public hearing to August 19, 2024 at 6:45PM via Zoom.
- 136 Made by Shyer, seconded by Whalen.
- 137 Vote: 5-0-0 in favor.

138

REGULAR MEETING MINUTES

August 5th, 2024 6:30PM

Remote Meeting by Live Internet Video Stream and Telephone

New Business

7. #2024-0255 / McCord (Michael Trapp Inc) / 514 Wells Hill Road / Special Permit Application for an Accessory Apartment (Section 208) / Map 30 / Lot 04 / DOR: 08/05/2024 / Reception, Consideration of Completeness, and Schedule Hearing

LUD Conroy explained the property had a single-family residence that was torn down in 2017. The applicant wants to build a new house on the property, and has come to the Commission for a Special Permit for a detached accessory apartment. LUD Conroy presented the Town GIS Map to describe complications with the application. The property owner also owns 510 Wells Hill Road. During her initial review, LUD Conroy noticed a driveway which begins on 514 Wells Hill Road also services an accessory building on 510 Wells Hill Road. This driveway does not comply with shared driveway regulations. The accessory building on 510 received a permit but it did not include approval for the driveway. The driveway and buried utilities pass through a strip of land owned by another entity. There is no easement for this crossing between 510 and 514 Wells Hill Road. LUD Conroy summarized that many of these factors can be handled through the Land Use Office and that the PZC's focus must only be on Lot 514.

LUD Conroy presented site plans and additional documents submitted with the application. Alyssa Fournier of Michael Trapp Inc joined the meeting to present the application. Ms. Fournier explained the property owner agreed to abandon the portion of the driveway that crosses over another property to 510 Wells Hill Road. Commissioner Cockerline asked about the buried utilities that cross over property lines. Ms. Fournier responded that she was under the impression that the utilities did not actually cross property lines, but she will check with the property owner to confirm. Commissioner Cockerline asked if retaining walls are present along the driveway. Ms. Fournier replied yes, there are two retaining walls with a planting bed on the left side of the driveway. LUD Conroy explained most of the project could be handled administratively as a single-family dwelling and associated driveway after the applicant completed an As-Built Survey and abandoned the shared component of the driveway. She explained the Commission could approve the accessory apartment application with the condition of eliminating any shared portion of the driveway. LUD Conroy added that the applicant should provide an As-Built Survey that includes driveway grades.

Chair Klemens asked if the As-Built Survey should be brought back to the Commission upon completion. LUD Conroy explained she would be more comfortable issuing a Zoning Permit after she received confirmation the driveway is compliant. Chair Klemens agreed and suggested the application be considered for completeness at an upcoming meeting after additional materials are provided. All Commission members agreed.

8. #2024-0256 / McGlone (Nelson) / 54 Farnum Road / Site Plan Application to Turn Second Floor into an Attached Accessory Apartment (Section 208) / Map 49 / Lot 113 / DOR: 08/05/2024 / Reception, Possible Consideration

Property owner Thomas McGlone and Architect Raymond Nelson joined the meeting to present the application. LUD Conroy explained the applicant proposed an attached accessory apartment. A flood zone area is located along Factory Brook (which is at the rear of the property), but Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) maps do not show where floodplains are in relation to structures on the property. LUD Conroy explained site topography documents were submitted that represented the structure is unlikely to be located within a floodplain. Additional information is required to confirm this.

REGULAR MEETING MINUTES August 5th, 2024 6:30PM

Remote Meeting by Live Internet Video Stream and Telephone

LUD Conroy asked the Commission if they wish to receive an additional survey demonstrating the extent of the floodplain, or if they are comfortable with the documents provided. Mr. McGlone explained the property has a steep downhill grade along the rear portion of the lot from the dwelling down to Factory Brook. Chair Klemens replied documents submitted for the application do not represent this and additional contours should be provided.

Mr. McGlone presented plans showing the proposed second floor attached apartment addition on the existing dwelling. Two second-floor bedrooms and storage areas are pre-existing, and the addition will include a kitchen, bathroom and an outdoor raised deck. An outdoor staircase will be added for a separate entrance. Mr. McGlone said the first floor of the dwelling will remain as-is. Secretary Whalen asked if the apartment will be accessible from the interior of the first floor. Mr. McGlone replied an existing interior stair will remain intact but the primary egress will be the second story deck. Chair Klemens advised the applicant to return with the floodplain superimposed on the submitted plans to more accurately show their location relative to the exiting dwelling.

Other Business

11. Section 803.5 Amendment or Modification of Special Permit #2022-0174 / Brazzale (Grickis) / 17 Railroad Street / Contractor Equipment Storage / Map 54 / Lot 58 / *Update*

Attorney William Grickis joined the meeting to represent Mr. Brazzale and provide an update. He explained that delinquent taxes have now been paid and approval from TAHD was received. However, the location of the storage building was altered without approval or accurate impervious surface calculations. Attorney Grickis explained the applicant is awaiting a response from a surveyor to ensure the impervious surface percentage does not exceed the threshold permitted within the Aquifer Protection Overlay District (APOD). Attorney Grickis explained the applicant chose to construct the structure twenty feet further into the lot than what was approved due to safety concerns of vehicles entering and exiting the driveway. Attorney Grickis reminded the Commission the applicant initially applied in response to neighborhood complaints and requests to store equipment in a secure place on the property. He explained Mr. Brazzale made a poor judgment call in a good faith attempt to attenuate safety issues that resulted in inappropriate relocation of the structure.

Chair Klemens appreciated the perspective provided by Attorney Grickis, but noted that the Commission must determine if constructing the structure in a different location constitutes a minor or major Site Plan modification. He added that a change of twenty feet is significant. Attorney Grickis argued the material impact of the applicant's decision was minor as the property is still in full compliance with setback requirements.

Vice Chair Shyer recused herself from the application. Secretary Whalen disagreed with Attorney Grickis and believed the applicant should return to the Commission for an updated special permit.

Commissioner Cockerline expressed preference to approve the application as a Site Plan modification.

Commissioner Riva explained he previously believed this adjustment should not be considered a minor

Site Plan modification. He expressed acknowledgement for all perspectives of the applicant and fellow Commissioners, and commented that any decision made will set a precedent for future applications.

Alternate Member Schiffer sympathized with the applicant's position, but believed material change of

the application should be considered major and the Commission must pursue appropriate enforcement

230 to prevent future applications with similar complications.

REGULAR MEETING MINUTES August 5th, 2024 6:30PM

Remote Meeting by Live Internet Video Stream and Telephone

Commissioner Cockerline expressed a change in opinion after hearing fellow Commissioners' perspectives, believing that a Special Permit application should be required. Attorney Grickis suggested that the Commission impose a fine on the applicant as a result of non-compliance. He explained a fine will get the attention of the public, spare the Commission an additional permit process, and will ensure the applicant received consequence for deviation from an approved Site Plan. Chair Klemens believed fining the applicant could set a precedent of undermining the Commission's authority in future applications. LUD Conroy commented that the Planning and Zoning Commission does not have the authority to levy a fine. LUD Conroy explained the applicant must file a Special Permit application based on the As-Built Plan and respond to the outstanding question of impervious surface calculations.

Commissioner Cockerline asked if future public hearing discussion will be exclusive to the structure's location. Chair Klemens replied that that was his intention.

Alternate Member Wells left the meeting at 8:15PM.

9. #2024-0257 / Wake Robin LLC & Ms. Serena Granbery (ARADEV LLC) / 104 & 106 Sharon Road & 53 Wells Hill Road / Special Permit for Hotel (Section 213.5) / Map 47/ Lot 2 & 2-1 / DOR: 08/05/2024 / Reception, Consideration of Completeness, and Schedule Hearing

Landscape Architect Mark Arigoni of SLR Consulting joined the meeting to represent the application. Civil Engineer Todd Ritchie of SLR Consulting, Land Use Attorney Josh Mackey, and Development Team Project Partners Steven Cohen and Jonathan Marrale were also present to address additional comments and questions. Mr. Arigoni provided a slideshow presentation to review the application.

Mr. Cohen explained a plan to improve the Wake Robin Inn has been in process for over a year. The outcome of this project is to renovate the Inn and property restoring its former liveliness, offering various amenities and attractions for visitors and town residents. Mr. Arigoni explained documents submitted with their application included an authorization from current property owners, a project narrative, parking analysis, A-2 survey, full site engineered drawings, drainage report, architectural drawings, and a zoning compliance letter. Mr. Arigoni noted that additional resources will be completed in time for the public hearing including photometric plan, coordination with Water Pollution Control Authority (WPCA) and Aquarion, a traffic study, and the IWWC application. Mr. Arigoni added that there is a request in progress with Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection (CT DEEP) and to the National Diversity Database (NDDB) which had requested that the applicant perform botany surveys to locate potential species of concern. Mr. Arigoni explained that these surveys documented the Handsome Sedge on isolated areas of the property and these plants could potentially be relocated before construction.

Mr. Arigoni reviewed the Zoning Data Table and A-2 Survey. He explained the project is located on two separate parcels. These include 53 Wells Hill Road (a 2.26-acre residential property with three existing structures) and 104/106 Sharon Road (a 11.28-acre property with a hotel structure and accessory garage). Mr. Arigoni explained an AT&T cell tower is planned for construction on this parcel beginning November 2024. A right of way exists to service a landlocked property southeast of the parcels, and an easement is in place over the driveway that services 53 Wells Hill Road. Mr. Arigoni shared images showing the proposed architecture of the Inn, twelve cottages, and an event barn. Mr. Arigoni shared the proposed site plan. A paved driveway will have defined parking, turnaround and drop off area for the

REGULAR MEETING MINUTES August 5th, 2024 6:30PM

Remote Meeting by Live Internet Video Stream and Telephone

Inn. The AT&T cell tower has an easement that the applicant will follow and improve with twenty-foot-wide driveway servicing five cottages nearby. Mr. Arigoni added emergency vehicular access will be constructed. Five additional cottages will be constructed along the woods line, serviced by a six-foot-wide pathway connecting to the primary driveway. Mr. Arigoni explained an event barn is proposed near the great lawn area, with a nearby spa building. An existing residential structure will be converted to a pool house, an existing garage expanded, and the existing accessory apartment will remain as is. Mr. Arigoni said the lawn area is existing and improvements will be made to widen nearby roadways. A network of impervious pavement pathways is proposed, ideally kept under 5% grade so all buildings and property amenities are universally accessible. The pathway will cross a wetland corridor with an elevated timber boardwalk system. Mr. Arigoni explained an existing elevated timber crossing from the hotel to the former pool area will be removed and rebuilt. Mr. Arigoni said all stormwater management will follow CT DEEP standards.

Chair Klemens mentioned that there are elements of this development involving the cell tower and access road that the Commission does not have authority to alter. Mr. Arigoni confirmed the cell tower easement will utilize the existing roadway and construct an additional gravel driveway towards the proposed tower, pad, utilities, and propane tank within a fenced area. Mr. Arigoni explained coordinated screening techniques such as landscaping, fence types, and roadway improvements are proposed to make the area more attractive for guests.

Vice Chair Shyer asked if the driveway connecting Sharon Road and Wells Hill Road is currently part of the Wake Robin Inn property. Mr. Arigoni replied it is not part of the Wake Robin Inn property but an access easement is in place for the development plan. Mr. Arigoni explained the primary traffic entrance and exit will be on Sharon Road, and the existing road to Wells Hill will be used as emergency vehicle access only. Vice Chair Shyer asked if members of the public could access property amenities with a membership program. Mr. Cohen replied the spa, restaurant and bar will be accessible to the public but memberships will not be offered. Vice Chair Shyer asked for more details on the intermittent streams. Mr. Arigoni confirmed an intermittent watercourse connects two wetland pockets that were delineated on the property, and a trench located near the Sharon Road drainage systems directs water off of the property. Mr. Arigoni explained the plan includes cleaning up the area and converting it into a formalized drainage system to ensure all stormwater flows into an existing catch basin to the right of the access driveway. Engineer Ritchie added there is no formal collection of the discharge from the wetlands systems into the shoulder and gutterline of Sharon Road. He commented it is not a favorable condition for motorists and roadway conditions. Engineer Ritchie explained existing substandard conditions for drainage must be updated to comply with 2024 CT DEEP water quality standards. Engineer Richie said stormwater rates will not be increased on the property and will be infiltrated when possible.

Secretary Whalen and Commissioner Riva expressed support and did not have questions. Commissioner Cockerline mentioned wedding venues can generate noise and asked the applicant how they plan to address opposition to hosting events. Mr. Cohen replied the Inn currently hosts limited outdoor weddings, but the proposed Event Barn will host indoor events. Mr. Cohen explained guests could host a ceremony and cocktail hour outdoors, but after 9:00PM all festivities must occur indoors with all windows and doors closed.

Alternate Member Schiffer appreciated the meadowscaping included in the plan and asked for measurements of the great lawn. She asked if other lawns are located on the property and how the

REGULAR MEETING MINUTES August 5th, 2024 6:30PM

Remote Meeting by Live Internet Video Stream and Telephone

applicant planned to protect nearby wetlands from lawn maintenance. Mr. Arigoni replied the great lawn is existing and will not be increased, but invasive species and lack of pruning on the edges of the lawn will be managed for improved usability. He noted another significant lawn area is located around the Inn between the existing driveway and rear of the structure. Mr. Arigoni explained the intent is to limit mowing on much of the property to only once annually and preserve woody plants to maintain meadow habitat. He added future communication with the IWWC will solidify details and additional wetland conservation efforts. Alternate Member Schiffer asked how the great lawn will be maintained and utilized. Mr. Arigoni replied the applicant is amenable to creating an integrative pest management plan focusing on organic lawn care practices. Mr. Cohen replied the great lawn can be used for outdoor games, hosting small ceremonies, or overflow parking for larger events.

Motion: To schedule a public hearing for application #2024-0257 / Wake Robin LLC & Ms. Serena Granbery (ARADEV LLC) / 104 & 106 Sharon Road & 53 Wells Hill Road / Special Permit for Hotel (Section 213.5) / Map 47/ Lot 2 & 2-1 / for September 3, 2024 at 6:45PM via Zoom.

Made by Riva, seconded by Cockerline.

Vote: 5-0-0 in favor.

Adjournment

- **Motion:** To adjourn the meeting at 9:07PM. Made by Whalen, seconded by Cockerline.
- 344 Vote: 5-0-0 in favor.

347 Respectfully Submitted,

348 Erika Spino

349 Secretary of Minutes