#### **SPECIAL MEETING MINUTES**

#### December 10th, 2024 5:30PM

Remote Meeting by Live Internet Video Stream and Telephone

**Members Present: Members Absent:** 1 2 Dr. Michael Klemens (Chair) Beth Wells (Alternate Member) Cathy Shyer (Vice Chair) 3 Martin Whalen (Secretary) 4 5 Allen Cockerline (Regular Member) **Staff Present:** 6 Bob Riva (Regular Member) Abby Conroy, Land Use Direction (LUD) 7 Dr. Danella Schiffer (Alternate Member) Miles Todaro, Land Use Technical Specialist (LUTS) 8 Attorney Charles Andres 9 10 **Brief Items and Announcements** 11 1. Call to Order / Establish Quorum 12 Chair Klemens called the meeting to order at 5:30PM. A quorum was established with five regular members present (Dr. Michael Klemens, Cathy Shyer, Martin Whalen, Allen Cockerline, Bob Riva). 13 14 Alternate Member Dr. Danella Schiffer was also present. 15 *Motion:* To approve the agenda. 16 17 Made by Cockerline, seconded by Riva. Vote: 5-0-0 in favor. 18 19 20 2. Minutes of October 29, 2024 – pending 21 3. Minutes of November 4, 2024 – pending 22 4. Minutes of November 18, 2024 - pending 23 24 **Public Hearing – 5:30PM** 25 5. #2024-0257 / Wake Robin LLC & Ms. Serena Granbery (ARADEV LLC) / 104 & 106 Sharon Road 26 & 53 Wells Hill Road / Special Permit for Hotel (Section 213.5) / Map 47/ Lot 2 & 2-1 / DOR: 27 08/05/2024 / Hearing Opened 09/03/2024 / Possible Close of Hearing 28 29 The public hearing continued at 5:30PM. Chair Klemens provided opening remarks and introduced himself, the Commission, Land Use Office (LUO) Staff and counsel Attorney Charles Andres. LUTS Todaro 30 31 read titles of the additional documents received since the last public hearing. Chair Klemens asked the 32 Applicant to provide updates and an overview of project changes. 33 34 Present to represent the application were Mark Arigoni of SLR Consulting, Attorney Joshua Mackey of 35 Mackey Butts & Whalen, Civil Engineer Todd Ritchie of SLR Consulting, Development Team Project Partners Steven Cohen and Jonathan Marrale, Traffic Engineer Neil Olinski, Real Estate Expert Dave 36 37 Jones, SLR Consulting US Manager of Ecology Matthew Sanford, SLR Consulting Environmental Scientist 38 Marlee Antill, Acoustic Engineers Damien Bell and Nick Block, and Architect Tim Eagles. 39 40 Mr. Arigoni introduced a slideshow presentation and provided an overview of additional application 41 information submitted. He shared a table of project information to clarify potentially inaccurate data

Engineer Todd Ritchie provided a civil engineering rebuttal summary. Mr. Ritchie explained twenty-fourfoot drive aisles were included for all ninety-degree parking on the revised site plans. Loading and

cited by the public, counsel or the Intervenor. Mr. Arigoni explained the inaccuracy and corrected

42

43

44

information for each item in the table.

### SPECIAL MEETING MINUTES

#### December 10th, 2024 5:30PM

Remote Meeting by Live Internet Video Stream and Telephone

unloading space for the Event Barn and Restaurant was provided in front of the storage building. He explained a concrete sidewalk access to the service door is located on the revised site plans. Additionally, all permeable pavement was removed so soil testing was not necessary. Mr. Ritchie explained the Applicant-prepared stormwater analysis and design compliant with 2024 Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection (CT DEEP) Stormwater Quality Manual guidelines alongside a revised Drainage Report. Soil samples were obtained from test pits and analyzed by the Applicant for infiltration rates using a falling head permeameter. He said the falling head permeameter is listed as an acceptable infiltration test method in the CT DEEP Stormwater Quality Manual. Mr. Richie explained the design for the proposed stormwater conveyance piping within the Connecticut Department of Transportation (CT DOT) right-of-way was revised to provide necessary separation from existing utilities, avoiding pipe conflicts.

Mr. Ritchie explained the average daily flow of the project was revised to one-hundred fifty gallons per day per bedroom based on Connecticut Department of Public Health Standards. The proposed sewer flow estimate was revised to 24,925 gallons per day. He explained that public water is supplied to the existing Wake Robin Inn by an existing twelve-inch water main located on the property frontage on Sharon Road, along with a six-inch water main located along the property frontage on Wells Hill Road. He added the Fire Marshal submitted a comment that water pressure and flow should be adequate for the site. Mr. Ritchie explained the existing Inn was connected to the sanitary sewer system and the Applicant has coordinated with the Water Pollution Control Authority (WPCA). WPCA will assess the capacity of the existing sewer to accept the sanitary flows from the proposed development. Mr. Ritchie explained the Applicant provided emergency vehicle access turning movement plans for large vehicles shown on plan sheets provided on revised Site Plans. He explained adequate space for emergency vehicles was provided. He explained a minimum horizontal distance of ten feet was provided between the proposed water mains, sanitary mains and sewer services in accordance with Connecticut Department of Public Health Standards. Mr. Ritchie concluded cottages are included as hotel use and are permitted as a Special Permit use.

 Dave Jones of Dave Jones Realty explained the Town's real estate market is one of the most robust in Litchfield County. He believed thoughtful development such as the project proposed enhances the area's appeal and improves property value rather than diminishing it. He compared the application to Winvian, a successful project that he believed positively transformed the community of Bantam Lake by significantly boosting the area's visibility and desirability.

Mr. Jones mentioned property sales in Town are well above appraised values and assessments and added that the sale price to list price ratio in December 2024 was at one-hundred two percent. He said successful integrations of new developments encourage positive community growth while contributing amenities.

Neil Olinski of SLR Consulting explained he filled in for Dave Sullivan who was unable to attend the meeting and provided a summary of traffic engineering points. Mr. Olinski reiterated that the driveway connected to Wells Hill Road would be emergency access only. He said CTDOT was in the process of approving improvements to Sharon Road through a formal Encroachment Permit process. The Town's peer traffic reviewer concurred with the Applicant's traffic study and findings.

Damien Bell of SLR Consulting presented rebuttal topics in response to a letter submitted by Brooks

# SPECIAL MEETING MINUTES December 10th, 2024 5:30PM

Remote Meeting by Live Internet Video Stream and Telephone

Acoustics. Mr. Bell explained Brooks Acoustics cited three factors that could potentially result in undesirable noise: building systems, guest behavior, and vehicle sounds. He replied that hosted events emit brief transient sounds that are not expected to cause a widespread community nuisance. Mr. Bell believed vehicle sound emissions cited in the letter are significantly higher than what would occur. The Applicant anticipated to take behavior of unruly guests seriously with cameras present throughout the facility and dedicated staff. He said neighbors are welcome to establish communication to management and staff if audible sound occurs to discuss directly.

Environmental Scientist Matt Sanford mentioned the Applicant's Listed Plant Survey canvassed the entire property at a sufficient time of year between June and July 2024. He explained one species, *Carex oligocarpa* (Few-Fruited Sedge), was identified on the property. The Applicant coordinated with DEEP Botanist William Moorehead for a final relocation plan. Mr. Sanford said the Applicant coordinated with the United States Fish and Wildlife Service Regional Field Office to discuss recommendations on the Northern Long Eared Bat. Acoustic bat surveys are not required for private development projects. He added that the application is projected to have minimal disturbance to the extreme northern limits of the three-hundred-acre habitat block. Mr. Sanford stated the project is not an unreasonable destruction of natural resources, and received approval from the Inland Wetlands & Watercourses Commission (IWWC).

Mark Arigoni explained the Wake Robin Inn had been continuously operational for twenty-five years, with thirty-eight rooms. Numerous outdoor tented events have been hosted for upwards of two-hundred guests with live music ending at 10:00PM and no neighborhood complaints. Mr. Arigoni explained all elements of the proposed redevelopment are either currently present or have been historically part of the Wake Robin Inn program and operation. He explained all elements of the project are industry standard for upscale hotels and can be found at comparable properties in the area, such as Interlaken Inn (Salisbury), Troutbeck (Amenia NY), and Winvian (Morris, CT). Mr. Arigoni explained the Event Barn was designed to move currently outdoor tented events indoors. The Event Barn and hotel each need their own respective back of house kitchen and storage spaces, and they cannot be combined. He explained that in accordance with the Zoning Regulations, a hotel is permitted via Special Permit in the RR1 Zone. Mr. Arigoni explained the Applicant was not opposed to constructing a six-foot solid panel decorative fence within a planted area surrounding the north parking lot along Wells Hill Road if the Commission felt it would be an added benefit. Mr. Arigoni explained six bedrooms are currently present on the Granberry parcel including two large rooms in the existing residential structure. The proposed cottages in that area will have ten bedrooms in total.

Chair Klemens asked for clarification of the guest occupancy. Steven Cohen explained the occupancy estimate of 309 guests is based on Scenario 5 parking analysis and incorporated the Inn at 80% occupancy, a hosted Event, restaurant guests, and bar guests. Chair Klemens asked if the conversion of permeable pavers to a concrete sidewalk was reflected in the stormwater calculations. Todd Ritchie confirmed it was. Chair Klemens asked if valet parking would be used for events to reduce noise of slamming car doors, idling vehicles and guest ingress or egress. Jonathan Marrale commented that valet parking can be utilized for all events.

Chair Klemens asked if potential plants growing on rock outcrops were studied at the appropriate time of year. Matt Sanford confirmed they were. Chair Klemens asked if *Carex oligocarpa* would be relocated on the property. Matt Sanford replied they would, on the southeast side of the property. Chair Klemens

# SPECIAL MEETING MINUTES December 10th, 2024 5:30PM

Remote Meeting by Live Internet Video Stream and Telephone

asked which four threatened, special concern and endangered plant species were searched for on the property. Matt Sanford replied the endangered *Pellaea glabella* (Smooth Cliff Brake Fern), threatened *Asplenium ruta muraria* (Wall-rue Spleenwort Fern) and special concern *Carex formosa* (Handsome Sedge). *Carex oligocarpa* (Few-Fruited Sedge) was found and identified. Chair Klemens asked if the threatened and endangered species were detected at the appropriate time of year. Matt Sanford confirmed they were, in June and July, within the appropriate growing season of May through September. He explained the species would have been readily identifiable on rock outcrops if present.

Chair Klemens asked if removal of large outdoor tented events could be conditioned. Jonathan Marrale confirmed that would be acceptable. Mark Arigoni explained outdoor wedding ceremonies during the day would be maintained and moved indoors during evening hours.

Commissioner Cockerline asked if the revised Sewer Plan affected preliminary approval from the WPCA. Mark Arigoni replied a formal WPCA approval process has not occurred. The Applicant and WPCA have been in discussion since March 2024 with revisions submitted. Commissioner Cockerline asked Dave Jones if he is a certified Appraiser. Mr. Jones explained he is not, but he is a Real Estate Broker. Commissioner Cockerline explained Wells Hill Road is the shortest route to the property from Route 112/Route 7 and asked how diminished traffic would be possible. Neil Olinski replied Google Map routing was investigated from several separate locations and Sharon Road was offered as the main routing option. Commissioner Cockerline advised that sound containment details for the Event Barn should be presented with careful analysis and architectural verification. Mark Arigoni replied the Event Barn will be designed with acoustics as a priority and recommended the Commission add it as a condition. He added that the Applicant was committed to design the Event Barn as acoustically sensitive as possible.

Vice Chair Shyer asked the Applicant team to identify the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) compliant entrance on the Event Barn. Mark Arigoni highlighted the accessible ramp system on the Site Plan. Vice Chair Shyer asked how outdoor deck space on the Event Barn would be utilized. Jonathan Marrale explained the deck space would be for the fast casual restaurant and pre-function gathering between a ceremony and the indoor event such as a cocktail hour.

Secretary Whalen asked for clarification of a conflict with the stormwater basin. Todd Ritchie replied that updates to base mapping occurred after obtaining estimated GIS-based water main information from Aquarion. He said the revised design and layout pinpointed the concern and avoids conflict of a shallow sanitary sewer and water main.

Alternate Member Schiffer supported Commissioner Cockerline's concern for noise mitigation and believed intention versus actuality is not a strong basis for approval. Alternate Member Schiffer asked what hosted Events would be deemed appropriate versus inappropriate. Attorney Charles Andres commented that the Commission regulates the use not the user and the Applicant included weddings, bar mitzvahs, celebrations and corporate Events. Attorney Joshua Mackey commented that proposed events would not deviate from what currently takes place on the property.

Chair Klemens opened the floor to the public for comments and questions.

Aimee Bell commented that Mark Arigoni's statement regarding the Granberry parcel having six

## SPECIAL MEETING MINUTES

December 10th, 2024 5:30PM

Remote Meeting by Live Internet Video Stream and Telephone

bedrooms was false and only four bedrooms are present. Ms. Bell also commented that Winvian Farm is one-hundred thirteen acres, Troutbeck is two-hundred fifty acres, and Interlaken is thirty acres, versus the Applicant's twelve-acre property. She mentioned Neil Olinski's statement that Wells Hill Road is a country back road and commented that adding nine cottages to the area will diminish that element. Ms. Bell believed the noise from the proposal would negatively affect her quality of life.

Laurie Fendrich commented that Lakeville is small village with a population of eight-hundred eighty-six people. Ms. Fendrich explained the capacity of the proposed hotel could potentially be half of the nearby village's population. Ms. Fendrich added that LED lights from increased traffic on Wells Hill Road would negatively affect her quality of life.

Shaffin Shariff explained he is one of the current owners of the Wake Robin Inn. Mr. Shariff explained existing hotel hosting three-hundred guests is not uncommon when considering the thirty-eight rooms and maximum of two-hundred guests for outdoor hosted events.

Peter Oliver explained he has performed six weddings at the existing Wake Robin Inn where over two-hundred guests were present. Mr. Oliver commented that an architect could design Event Barn walls to ensure the sound transmission coefficient is high. Once plans are received for construction by the Building Official, the Commission can ensure the best possible practice for acoustics control could be followed.

Mary Ward explained she became owner of 64 Wells Hill Road in September 2024. Ms. Ward said she never received notice from the Town regarding this application. She expressed concern regarding light and noise pollution and believed the rural quality of life they desired would be affected. Ms. Ward explained she is a licensed architect and believed the submitted plans are not complete and found the use difficult to decipher.

John and Nicole Franchini, the owners of 75 Sharon Road said their property is located directly across from the Wake Robin entrance and explained they are regularly directed through Wells Hill Road when using a GPS system for routing. He believed the Special Permit requirements are not met as the application does not avoid detriment or nuisance to the surrounding area. He expressed appreciation for attempted accommodations but believed there is inadequate ability to enforce all good intentions expressed from the Applicant.

Darryl Peck expressed concern that public hearings are nearing conclusion with close to forty new documents uploaded to the Town website in the past twenty-four hours. Mr. Peck believed it is not possible for the public to participate fairly with Site Plans and testimony changing rapidly. He believed a majority of the community was against the application. Mr. Peck believed the Commission is guided by fear of legal action by the Applicant and the action taken in May 2024 to change Zoning Regulations is legally troublesome. He explained the application would adversely affect the enjoyment, usefulness and value of neighboring properties. Mr. Peck believed noise control and enforcement would not be possible.

Lori Shepard explained she is against the proposed project and believed the application should be denied.

## SPECIAL MEETING MINUTES

#### December 10th, 2024 5:30PM

Remote Meeting by Live Internet Video Stream and Telephone

Mary Ward explained she does not believe the Site Plans are complete enough to judge use of the property. Ms. Ward said property use was not clear and changes can occur during the building permit process. She expressed confusion with the placement of cottages and lights facing the road. Ms. Ward urged the Commission to deny the application.

Gerry Freedman explained he owned a lakeside property on Millerton Road. Mr. Freedman believed additional noise pollution from the proposed use would cross the lake and affect his property similarly to sounds emitting from the Interlaken Inn and Hotchkiss School. He believed the project would negatively affect real estate instead of raising value.

Judy Gafney expressed concern that the Applicant has prepared this project behind the scenes. Ms. Gafney believed the project was too large to be enforced. She believed there are issues with spot zoning. Ms. Gafney asked how the application would mitigate drought and environmental changes as a result of climate change. She believed the application should be tabled or rescinded until more information is shared.

Karen Lundeen, a resident of 336 and 338 Wells Hill Road believed the application would not benefit the community and could potentially overwhelm volunteer services such as the ambulance and fire station. She expressed agreement with all other concerns expressed by the public and believed the application should be denied.

Tom Murphy asserted that no explanation was received from the Commission regarding the draft amendments to Zoning Regulations. Chair Klemens replied he wrote a letter that was not acknowledged and additional response was posted on the Town website. Mr. Murphy rebutted that the Commission did not explain prohibition against the enhancement of a non-conforming use in an RR1 Zone, and this Special Permit application is based upon a change that proceeded through the LUO without public knowledge. He believed if the public had sufficient time to respond to this change, the application would not have reached its current stage. Mr. Murphy believed the application should be denied.

Darryl Peck mentioned a forty-room inn that may be proposed at Lime Rock Park. Mr. Peck believed the Town is unable to support over one-hundred hotel rooms and the Applicant does not have a chance at commercial success. He believed the Applicant would ultimately abandon the project and the development would be run by a non-profit organization depriving the Town of tax revenue. He believed the application could create enormous strain on Town infrastructure. Mr. Peck expressed frustration with correspondence received by Attorney Mackey concerning Public Comment. He believed the Commission and LUO staff are stretched beyond their means and the current situation is not sustainable for the Town. Mr. Peck believed the application does not adhere to current or past Zoning Regulations.

Peter Oliver explained Town Zoning Regulations were first drafted in the 1950s and recognized a light industrial zone to allow for factory buildings. Mr. Oliver explained the Wake Robin Inn has been present since 1914 and was recognized as non-conforming. He explained the Commission did not create new Zoning Regulations for the application.

Elyse Harney explained she is opposed to the application. Ms. Harney believed the project does not align with a community who appreciates a quiet residential area. She commented that the application is not appropriate for the community and should be scaled down in size.

# SALISBURY PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION SPECIAL MEETING MINUTES December 10th, 2024 5:30PM

Remote Meeting by Live Internet Video Stream and Telephone

Chair Klemens called a brief recess at 8:04PM. The meeting resumed at 8:12PM. Chair Klemens asked

Bill Cruger opined that the Applicant did not address Special Permit requirements specifically that the

use may not adversely affect the neighborhood. Mr. Cruger explained the intervening experts will

the Intervenors to join the meeting to provide a rebuttal.

comment on the noise value, environment and Town planning. The experts would state that their professional opinion is that the proposed expansion does not comply with requirements of a Special Permit. He believed a proposed expansion of this scale should not have reached this point of an application process without assurance from the WPCA regarding adequate sewer capacity.

Bennett Brooks of Brooks Acoustics Corporation explained he submitted four documents for the record

in rebuttal to the Applicant. Mr. Brooks believed the application provided information containing errors

and omissions and must meet more restrictive requirements for a Special Permit. He believed the

Applicant should demonstrate that every feature of the proposal complies.

Brian Miller of Miller Planning Group believed the Applicant's certified planner did not respond to the overall impact and the project is not compatible with the surrounding neighborhood.

Roger Rawlings of Resource Valuation Group explained the Applicant's statements that a robust real estate market in Town would not be affected are incorrect. Mr. Rawlings believed their analysis does not correlate with the current market conditions and only addressed how traffic would adversely affect properties located on Wells Hill Road and Sharon Road. He added that GPS routing would direct drivers through Wells Hill Road and increase traffic.

George Logan of Rema Ecological Services explained he submitted three reports. Mr. Logan said the mature forest on the subject property contributes to the overall ecology of the surrounding watershed. He commented that water quality basin number 130 did not have proper soils and the redesign for detention basin number 210 was suboptimal. Mr. Logan believed the proposed stormwater quality system was inadequate.

Sigrun Gadwa of Rema Ecological Services opined that the Applicant's plant surveys were not properly conducted. Ms. Gadwa explained the survey conducted in the wetlands was minimal and rare plants would have been difficult to locate amidst invasive species. She added that lighting proposed for the project could affect moth populations.

Attorney Pearley Grimes asserted the project could function if the Event Barn was eliminated, but profitability should not be a consideration by the Commission. He added that the Applicant desires to override the obligation of the Commission to enforce Regulations of Public health, safety and welfare.

Dainius Virbickas of Artel Engineering Group explained the Applicant performed soil testing within their facility rather than in the field, which is not an approved method in the CT DEEP Stormwater Quality Manual. Mr. Virbickas explained the Applicant's detail sheet and utility sheet have conflicting information and do not match regarding the stormwater management systems. He added that the Applicant did not provide adequate information for large emergency vehicle access (such as firetrucks) to the main hotel structure in an emergency situation.

# SALISBURY PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION SPECIAL MEETING MINUTES December 10th, 2024 5:30PM

Remote Meeting by Live Internet Video Stream and Telephone

Chair Klemens asked Roger Rawlings if he believed property values as far away as East Main Street in Salisbury would be negatively affected by the application. Mr. Rawlings replied neighborhood indexes on all major roadways would be adversely affected. Chair Klemens asked George Logan if he believed the designed stormwater system was inadequate. Mr. Logan replied yes, it would not be able to attenuate the variety of pollutants found in runoff. Chair Klemens asked Sigrun Gadwa if she felt the survey for rare species was inadequate due to rich limestone and a large assortment of invasive plants. Ms. Gadwa confirmed this. Chair Klemens mentioned wetlands on the property are protected as ordered by the IWWC and asked if they would be negatively affected by the project. Ms. Gadwa believed they would be, and expressed concern with hydrologic issues nearby. Chair Klemens asked if lighting would disrupt phenology of plants on the site. Ms. Gadwa believed it would.

 LUD Conroy asked Bennett Brooks for clarification of the sound level calculation chart he provided for the record. Mr. Brooks provided more details on the data shown in the chart. LUD Conroy noted that a single automobile exceeds State sound level limits, and asked if Mr. Brooks believed that interpretation should be applied to every Special Permit application. Mr. Brooks replied that the matter is for the Commission to take into account, and the increase in number of automobiles on site would cause a significant disturbance. LUD Conroy asked Brian Miller if alignment with State growth management principles was clear within the Town's draft 2024 Plan of Conservation & Development (POCD). Mr. Miller replied it was not clear. LUD Conroy asked George Logan to confirm that no permit or safeguards would be required to preserve resources if the current owner of the Wake Robin Inn wanted to conduct maintenance of the property. Mr. Logan agreed. LUD Conroy asked if the property was converted to residential or agricultural use there would likewise be no safeguards in place to preserve resources. Mr. Logan agreed that would also be the case assuming there is nothing in the regulations to prevent it.

Commissioner Riva asked George Logan if nitrogen or phosphate concerns would affect runoff into Lakeville Lake. Mr. Logan replied yes, the drainage watershed is significantly larger.

Chair Klemens asked the Applicant to join the meeting for a cross-examination of the Intervenor.

Todd Ritchie asked George Logan if changing the surface of rain gardens to loam and vegetated surface would be acceptable. Mr. Logan replied it would be an improvement but required additional accommodations of infiltration capability. Mr. Ritchie asked Dainius Virbickas if the falling head permeameter test is an acceptable infiltration test on the CT DEEP Stormwater Quality Manual. Mr. Virbickas replied yes.

Mr. Ritchie suggested a condition for the application's approval could be modifying the rain gardens with planted bottoms. In addition to providing a 50% reduction rate in the two-year twenty-four-hour post development peak stormwater flow rate as required, the proposed on-site stormwater design would provide reductions in the post development peak flow rates at all points where stormwater discharges from the site. Mr. Ritchie added the Applicant's opinion is that the proposed stormwater management design treats and controls flows to the maximum extent of practical and achievable on-site minimum design criteria included in the CT DEEP Stormwater Quality Manual.

Matt Sanford asked Sigrun Gadwa if she performed an on-site visit. Ms. Gadwa replied she had not. Mr. Sanford asked if she knew the density of Japanese Barberry on site. Ms. Gadwa did not. Mr. Sanford

### SPECIAL MEETING MINUTES

#### December 10th, 2024 5:30PM

Remote Meeting by Live Internet Video Stream and Telephone

asked if her observations are speculative. Ms. Gadwa replied her report is based on a report by Marlee Antill. Mr. Sanford asked how wetlands on site would be impacted by the project. Ms. Gadwa replied change in artificial lighting and hydraulic regime would impact wetlands.

Chair Klemens asked Attorney Grimes to provide a summation.

Attorney Grimes thanked the Commission and explained experts were engaged to identify various issues involved when looking at a complex proposed project in a sensitive residential zone surrounded by homes. Attorney Grimes commented adjusting buildings on the property would not be a sufficient solution to the density of the buildings and proposed uses. He noted that the application is called a hotel application, but the Applicant's narrative called it a boutique hospitality campus. Attorney Grimes explained Mr. Brook's report addressed the issue of vehicle noise which cannot be mitigated and has not been challenged. He added the Applicant has not shown baseline noise measurements. Attorney Grimes explained on November 15th 2024 he composed a letter to the Commission regarding the need for sewer capacity to be addressed, and no application should be approved without appropriate certification of adequate capacity. He acknowledged the Town had since engaged engineers to evaluate sewer capacity of the WPCA plant. Attorney Grimes mentioned the burden of proof for the Site Plan and special exceptions made is on the Applicant, not the Commission. He added that if the requirements are not met, the application must be denied. Quality of life of the community is an important factor of the application that the Commission must protect.

Chair Klemens asked Attorney Mackey to provide a summation.

Attorney Mackey introduced a slideshow presentation to represent the application timeline. He reminded the Commission the Wake Robin Inn is an existing and ongoing conforming enterprise that could perform most, if not all of the services proposed by the Applicant. Physical expansion of the property was proposed thus warranting a Special Permit application. Attorney Mackey explained the Applicant has demonstrated compliance with a Special Permit and Site Plan standard set forth in the Regulations. He explained the Applicant made adjustments in response to concerns articulated by the Intervenor and public and takes their role seriously as demonstrated by conduct during the past proceedings. Attorney Mackey believed the Applicant proposed a project that is in harmony with the area that provides exceptional experience for all.

Attorney Andres addressed the Intervenor's burden. He explained only the environmental information presented by the Intervenor was pertinent to the intervention. He added that during deliberations, additional finding requirements will be triggered. Attorney Andres clarified *ex-parte* communications. He explained a decision by the Commission must be based on evidence in the record. Evidence from the public, applicants, or intervenors received after closing of the public hearing cannot be considered. Attorney Andres acknowledged voting on this application will be performed by seated Commission members only.

Commissioner Cockerline asked Attorney Andres for clarification on WPCA. Attorney Andres replied the Commission has discretion to address WPCA via conditions, but they don't have to.

Chair Klemens asked the Commission members if they are able to render an impartial decision on the application. All replied yes.

# SPECIAL MEETING MINUTES December 10th, 2024 5:30PM

## Remote Meeting by Live Internet Video Stream and Telephone

415 416 Motion: To close the public hearing at 10:18PM. 417 Made by Whalen, seconded by Riva. Vote: 5-0-0 in favor. 418 419 420 Chair Klemens explained deliberations on the application begin at 7:00PM on December 12, 2024. 421 Adjournment 422 423 424 Motion: To adjourn the meeting at 10:25PM. Made by Riva, seconded by Shyer. 425 Vote: 5-0-0 in favor. 426 427 428 429 Respectfully Submitted, 430 Erika Spino

431

**Secretary of Minutes**